

#### **FAX COVER SHEET**

TO:

Mr. Michael Fleishmann Fleishmann Professional Corp. Toronto, Ont. FAX NO. 1-647-361-8588

Mr. Ian Rowe Barriston LLP Barrie, Ont. FAX NO. 705-721-4025

FROM: E. Marshall Green (Samantha)

DATE: October 5, 2015

Re: The Corporation of the County of Simcoe ats

Aware Simcoe Environmental and Social Solutions Inc.

Our File A4711005

MESSAGE:

Please see the attached

TOTAL PAGES SENT, INCLUDING THIS PAGE

If you do not receive all pages, please call back as soon as possible to 705-737-1811

ORIGINAL WILL WILL NOT \* FOLLOW BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

#### CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

The attached material is intended for use only by the addressee set out above. It should not be read by, or distributed to any other person. Such material may contain privileged or confidential information. The disclosure or other use of which by other than the intended recipient may result in the breach of certain law or the infringement of rights of third parties. If you have received this telecopy in error, please notify us immediately by calling our office (collect if necessary) at 705-737-1811 so that we can make appropriate arrangements for the return of this telecopy and any confirmation copy which you may receive by mail at our expense.



Marshall Green 705.737.1811 ext 124 mgreen@hgrgp.ca

Clerk: Samantha 705.737.1811 ext 123 samanthat@hgrgp.ca

October 5, 2015

Ms. Hilda Van Beek Judicial Assistant Superior Court of Justice 75 Mulcaster Street Barrie, Ontario L4M 3P2

Dear Ms. Van Beek:

Re: County of Simcoe et al. ats Aware Simcoe et al.

Court File No.: DC-15-0922 Our File No. A4711005

Pursuant to the Directions of Her Honour, Justice Eberhard, enclosed please find our written submissions with respect to costs and our Bill of Costs.

Yours very truly
HGR GRAHAM PARTNERS

E. Marshall Green EMG/st Encl.

c. Mr. Michael Fleishmann, Fleishmann Professional Corporation Mr. Ian Rowe, Barriston LLP

#### ONTARIO DIVISIONAL COURT

**BETWEEN:** 

AWARE SIMCOE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SOLUTIONS INC.

Applicant

and

CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE and TECUMSETH ESTATES INC.

Respondents

APPLICATION UNDER the *Judicial Review Procedure Act,* R.S.O. 1990, c.J.1 and Rule 68 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*, RRO 1990, Reg. 194

#### COST SUBMISSIONS OF THE RESPONDENT, CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE

October 5, 2015

HGR GRAHAM PARTNERS LLP 107 – 190 Cundles Rd. East Barrie, Ont. L4M 4S5

E. Marshall Green (LSUC#15176J) William G. Thomson (68290K)

Tel: 705-737-1811 Fax: 705-737-5390

Solicitors for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

4 / 18

TO:

**ONTARIO DIVISIONAL COURT** 

Barrie Courthouse 75 Mulcaster Street

Barrie, ON L4M 3P2

AND TO:

FLEISCHMANN PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1 Adelaide St. East, Suite 2501

Toronto, Ont. M5C 2V9

Michael Fleischmann (LSUC#57100K)

Tel: 416-670-2088 Fax: 416-361-8588

Email: Michael@mf-law.ca

Solicitors for Aware Simcoe Environmental

and Social Solutions Inc.

AND TO:

**BARRISTON LAW** 

90 Mulcaster St.

Barrie, Ont. L4M 4Y5

Ian Rowe

Tel: 705-721-3377 Fax: 705-721-4025

Email: irowe@barristonlaw.com

Solicitors for Tecumseth Estates Inc.

#### ONTARIO **DIVISIONAL COURT**

**BETWEEN:** 

705 737 5390

AWARE SIMCOE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SOLUTIONS INC.

**Applicant** 

and

CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE and TECUMSETH ESTATES INC.

Respondents

#### **INDEX**

Tab	Description
1.	Cost Submissions dated October 5, 2015
2.	Bill of Costs dated October 5, 2015

705 737 5390 HGR Graham Partners LLP

09:55:33 a.m.

### TAB 1

#### ONTARIO **DIVISIONAL COURT**

**BETWEEN:** 

705 737 5390

AWARE SIMCOE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SOLUTIONS INC.

Applicant

and

#### CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE and TECUMSETH ESTATES INC.

Respondents

APPLICATION UNDER the Judicial Review Procedure Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.J.1 and Rule 68 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, RRO 1990, Reg. 194

#### **COSTS SUBMISSIONS OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE**

- 1. The County of Simcoe (the "County") is a respondent to a judicial review application brought by the Applicant Aware Simcoe Environmental and Social Solutions Inc. ("Aware").
- 2. Aware brought a motion for an interim injunction to restrain the Co-Respondent Tecumseth Estates Inc. (the "Company") from acting on a tree removal permit granted to it by the County to clear certain of its property for agricultural purposes. The County and the Company opposed the interim injunction application and the motion was dismissed.
- 3. Her Honour Justice Eberhard gave detailed reasons for the dismissal of the motion, including the background to the County granting its original permit. She covered the County having inadvertently omitting notice to abutting property owners, the placing of the subsequent stop work order, the very complete hearing that was then granted, and the procedural errors made by the County in holding the hearing and deliberating on its

decision. She found that the process, though flawed, did not meet the tests for an interim injunction in all of the circumstances.

- 4. In our respectful submission, there is nothing in the nature, process or result of this case that would merit the Court deviating from the normal rule that costs follow the results in the cause. The "thinness" of the facts upon which Aware has based its application for judicial review, and the even thinner basis for an interim injunction should lead to nothing but the "usual" costs disposition.
- 5. The nature of the injunction action involved three separate legal issues to be canvassed:
  - a. Is there a serious question to be tried?
  - b. Would the litigant who seeks the interlocutory injunction suffer irreparable harm if it is not granted?
  - c. Which party would suffer the greater harm from the granting or refusal of the interlocutory order?
- 6. In particular, the first issue required the canvassing of a wide spectrum of issues, including public vs. private rights, elements of natural justice and certain aspects of municipal law. In all, the County's factum covered 17 pages, and there were 6 cases and 3 statutory references to be researched, and then reviewed with the Court.
- 7. The County, as a public body is most sensitive to, and in most cases appreciative of, the input of public interest groups into its legislative and administrative processes. That is the very reason that when it was discovered that part of the process under its Forestry Bylaw had been inadvertently overlooked, that it determined, in this situation to go "above and beyond" the usual process and to offer a much wider public hearing than was required.
- 8. In fact, the AWARE group should be cognizant of the attempts by the County to be transparent in its various processes. When the AWARE group complained publicly that

the County had not been open enough in the process of adopting a new Official Plan for the County (even though the OMB found no flaw in the process) the County held an expansive, and expensive public open house to answer the questions of AWARE and others.

- 9. To be considered as well, is who has the primary responsibility for representing the public interest. County Council is made up of the mayors and deputy mayors of its 16 constituent municipalities. This means that residents of those local municipalities have both a direct influence on County decisions at the level of their local politicians, and a second level of input at the County Council table itself. With these two layers of input, the County Council is very well positioned to represent residents and taxpayers. Much better than a "corporate" lobby group, with an undeclared membership.
- 10. In our respectful submission, it was unfair and in fact inappropriate for this group to challenge the County in this situation based on irregularities that it knew or should have known had no discernible effect on the outcome. Simple mathematics was the answer to one of the complaints, being that of the vote by two councillors who did not attend part one of the hearing. With respect to the other complaint not being allowed a "reply to Mr. Rowe's reply" at the hearing it seems disingenuous at best for AWARE to have complained about not being able to repeat its hearing position, when the alternative (the Council's refusal to hear from Mr. Rowe before day 2) would have had Mr. Rowe unable to answer questions he was learning of for the first time at the hearing.
- 11. One has to keep in mind, of course, that the County is the steward of public monies.

  While of course it must defend an action when brought against it, it also has an obligation to recover the taxpayer dollars spent on an action that a Court has found was ill-conceived.
- 12. We have provided a bill of costs divided into three columns. We have shown the actual fees and disbursements charged to the County. It should be noted that we provide the

County a rate that is discounted roughly by 1/3 from our standard client rates. We have then shown what would be substantial indemnity rate at 85% and partial indemnity at 67%. We of course acknowledge Your Honour's discretion in setting the rate, and the amount, of costs in this situation.

All of which is respectfully submitted this 5<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015.

HGR GRAHAM PARTNERS LLP

**Barristers & Solicitors** 190 Cundles Rd. East Barrie, Ontario L4M 4S5

E. Marshall Green (15176J) William G. Thomson (68290K)

Tel: (705) 737 1811 Fax: (705) 737 5390

Lawyers for the Respondent,

Corporation of the County of Simcoe

705 737 5390 HGR Graham Partners LLP

09:57:37 a.m.

### TAB 2

#### ONTARIO DIVISIONAL COURT

BETWEEN:

AWARE SIMCOE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SOLUTIONS INC.

**Applicant** 

and

CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE and TECUMSETH ESTATES INC.

Respondents

APPLICATION UNDER the *Judicial Review Procedure Act,* R.S.O. 1990, c.J.1 and Rule 68 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*, RRO 1990, Reg. 194

#### BILL OF COSTS OF THE RESPONDENT, CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE (Motion for Injunction)

October 5, 2015

HGR GRAHAM PARTNERS LLP 107 – 190 Cundles Rd. East Barrie, Ont. L4M 4S5

E. Marshall Green (LSUC#15176J) mgreen@hgrgp.ca

Tel: 705-737-1811 Fax: 705-737-5390

Lawyers for the Corporation of the County of Simcoe

#### ONTARIO DIVISIONAL COURT

**BETWEEN:** 

AWARE SIMCOE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SOLUTIONS INC.

**Applicant** 

and

#### CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE and TECUMSETH ESTATES INC.

Respondents

#### BILL OF COSTS OF THE CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE

#### PART I - FEES

A claim for fees is being made with respect to the following professionals:

Name of Lawyer	Title	Year of Call to the Bar
Marshall Green	Partner	1975
The Hon. Justice Peter J. Howden	Counsel	1967
William Thomson	Associate	2015
Samantha Trottola	Law Clerk	2000 +

#### Fees - Motion

Preparation and review of Motion materials for Applicants Motion for Injunction including: drafting of responding affidavits and exhibits, factum and authorities, assembling materials and preparation of cost submissions.

Lawyer	Hours	Partial	Substantial	Full
Marshall Green (Partial \$217./hour Substantial \$276.25/ Full \$325./)	21.7	\$4,708.90	\$5,994.63	\$7,052.50
Justice Peter Howden (Partial \$301.50./hour Substantial \$382.50/ Full \$450./)	3.5	\$1,055.25	\$1,338.75	\$1,575.00
William Thomson (Partial \$155.44/hour Substantial \$197.20/ Full \$232./)	23.8	\$3,699.48	\$4,693.36	\$5,521.60
Samantha Trottola (Clerk) (Partial \$97.15/hour Substantial \$123.25/ Full \$145./)	10.8	\$1,049.22	\$1,331.10	\$1,566.00 -
Attendance at Motion	on August 19, 20	15		e de la companya de l
Marshall Green	9.1	\$1,974.70	\$2,513.88	\$2,957.50
William Thomson	9.1	\$1,414.51	\$1,794.52	\$2,111.20
	Fees Sub- Total	\$13,902.06	\$17,666.24	\$20,783.80
	HST@ 13%	<u>\$1,807.27</u>	<u>\$2,296.62</u>	<u>\$2,701.90</u>
	Fees Total	\$15,709.33	\$19,962.86	<sup>+</sup> \$23,485.70

DISBURSEMENTS	er and described a service of the control of the co	
Photocopies		\$133.25
Research		\$50.00
	Sub-Total	· \$188.75
	HST @ 13%	\$24.53
	Disbursements Total	\$213.28

October 5, 2015

#### HGR GRAHAM PARTNERS LLP

Barristers & Solicitors 107 - 190 Cundles Rd. East Barrie, Ontario L4M 4S5

E. Marshall Green (mgreen@hgrgp.ca)

Tel: (705) 737-1811 Fax: (705) 737-5390

Solicitors for the Plaintiffs

TO:

#### FLEISCHMANN PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1 Adelaide St. East, Suite 2501 Toronto, Ont. M5C 2V9

Michael Fleischmann (LSUC#57100K) Michael@mf-law.ca

Tel: 416-670-2088 Fax: 416-361-8588

Solicitors for Aware Simcoe Environmental and Social Solutions Inc.

AND TO:

**BARRISTON LAW** 

90 Mulcaster St. Barrie, Ont. L4M 4Y5

Ian Rowe irowe@barristonlaw.com

Tel: 705-721-3377 Fax: 705-721-4025

Solicitors for Tecumseth Estates Inc.

CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE et al.

>

AWARE SIMCOE ENVIRONMENTAL et al.

Appellants

Respondents

# Court File No. 15-0922 DC

### **DIVISIONAL COURT** ONTARIO

# PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT BARRIE

## BILL OF COSTS OF THE RESPONDENT, CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE (Motion for Injunction)

# HGR GRAHAM PARTNERS LLP

Barristers & Solicitors 107 - 190 Cundles Rd. East Barrie, ON L4M 4S5

E. Marshall Green (LSUC #15176J)

Tel: (705) 737-1811 Fax: (705) 737-5390

Lawyers for the Respondents

CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE et al. Respondents

>

AWARE SIMCOE ENVIRONMENTAL et al.

Applicants

Court File No. 15-0922-DC

### **DIVISIONAL COURT** ONTARIO

# PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT BARRIE

### **COSTS SUBMISSIONS OF THE RESPONDENT,** CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF SIMCOE

# **HGR GRAHAM PARTNERS LLP**

107 - 190 Cundles Rd. East Barrie, Ontario L4M 4S5 Lawyers - Mediators

WILLIAM THOMSON (LSCUC # 68290K) E. MARSHALL GREEN (LSUC #15176J)

Fax: (705) 737-5390 Tel: (705) 737-1811

Solicitors for Corporation of the County of Simcoe